

Richard L. Schwartz (NY Bar No. 1821081)\*  
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  
STATE OF NEW YORK  
120 Broadway, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10271  
Telephone: 212-416-8282 (Richard L. Schwartz)  
Facsimile: 212-416-6015  
Email: Richard.Schwartz@ag.ny.gov

*\*Automatic Pro Hac Vice Admission  
Pursuant to Pretrial Order No. 1,  
Dated July 3, 2007 (Waiving Civil L.R. 11-3)*

**Attorney for Plaintiff, State of New York**

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

This Document Relates to  
Case Nos. 3:07-MD-1827 and 3:11-CV-711

STATE OF NEW YORK  
by and through ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN,  
Attorney General

Plaintiff,

v.

AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

MASTER FILE NO. 07-md-1827-SI  
MDL File No. 1827

CASE NO. 3:11-CV-711-SI

**PLAINTIFF STATE OF NEW  
YORK'S STIPULATION AND  
[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING  
TIME TO FILE EXPERT  
DISCLOSURE**

Judge Susan Y. Illston

WHEREAS the revised scheduling order in the above-captioned case provides that  
plaintiff must disclose to defendants the identity of its expert(s) and provide a one-paragraph  
description of the issues to be addressed by each expert on October 3, 2011;

1 WHEREAS Plaintiff State of New York contemplates retaining two experts - a direct  
2 damages expert and an indirect damages expert - in the above-captioned case;

3 WHEREAS any direct damages expert retained by Plaintiff State of New York may  
4 address: (i) the conditions for cartel behavior, particularly in light of the characteristics of TFT-  
5 LCD panels and the structure of the TFT-LCD industry; (ii) whether the economic evidence,  
6 including pricing behavior, is consistent with the existence of a conspiracy among Defendants;  
7 (iii) whether the cartel had an economic impact by increasing prices above competitive levels  
8 with respect to direct purchasers, particularly as to the claims assigned to New York by HP, Dell,  
9 IBM, and Lenovo (the "Assigned Claims"); (iv) whether New York was injured; (v) the amount  
10 of damages and/or restitution to which New York is entitled pursuant to the Assigned Claims;  
11 (vi) the volume of TFT-LCD commerce with respect to New York; and (viii) may also respond  
12 to any economic analysis that seeks to deny the existence of Defendants' conspiracy, the effects  
13 of the conspiracy, or the participants in the conspiracy;

14 WHEREAS any indirect damages expert retained by New York may address: (i) the  
15 conditions for cartel behavior, particularly in light of the characteristics of TFT-LCD panels and  
16 the structure of the TFT-LCD industry; (ii) whether the economic evidence, including pricing  
17 behavior, is consistent with the existence of a conspiracy among Defendants; (iii) whether the  
18 cartel had an economic impact by increasing prices above competitive levels with respect to  
19 direct purchasers, or employ analysis and results generated by New York's direct damages  
20 expert; calculate the extent of any pass-through of the overcharges; (iv) whether the overcharges  
21 resulted in higher prices with respect to the end-payor purchases that have been asserted in New  
22 York's complaint; (v) whether New York was injured; (vi) the nominal recoveries for damages  
23 and/or restitution to which New York is entitled; (vii) the volume of TFT-LCD commerce with  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 respect to New York; and (viii) may also respond to any economic analysis that seeks to deny the  
2 existence of Defendants' conspiracy, the effects of the conspiracy, or the participants in the  
3 conspiracy;

4 WHEREAS Plaintiff State of New York desires additional time to identify its experts;

5 WHEREAS extending the time for Plaintiff State of New York to identify its experts  
6 would not alter the date of any other event or deadline already fixed by the Court;  
7

8 NOW, THEREFORE, the Undersigned Parties, acting by and through their respective  
9 counsel of record, stipulate and agree as follows:

10 Plaintiff State of New York will have until October 17, 2011 to identify its experts.  
11

12  
13 Dated: October 3, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

14 ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN  
15 Attorney General of the State of New York

16 BY: \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
17 Richard L. Schwartz  
18 Acting Bureau Chief, Antitrust Bureau  
19 120 Broadway, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor  
20 New York, New York 10271  
(212) 416-8282 (voice)  
(212) 416-6195 (fax)  
Richard.Schwartz@ag.ny.gov

21 Attorneys for Plaintiff State of New York

22 \*Automatic Pro Hac Vice Admission Pursuant to Pretrial  
23 Order No. 1, Dated July 3, 2007 (Waiving Civil L.R. 11-3)  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Dated: October 3, 2011

BY: \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
 Michael R. Lazerwitz (PRO HAC VICE)  
 Jeremy J. Calsyn (State Bar No. 205062)  
 Lee F. Berger (State Bar No. 222756)  
 CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP  
 One Liberty Plaza  
 New York, NY 10006  
 (212) 225-2000 (Phone)  
 (212) 225-3999 (Facsimile)  
 mlazerwitz@cgsh.com

*Attorneys for Defendants LG Display Co., Ltd. and LG Display America, Inc.*

Dated: October 3, 2011

BY: \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
 Christopher A. Nedea  
 Carl L. Blumenstein  
 Allison Dibley  
 NOSSAMAN LLP  
 50 California Street, 34<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 San Francisco, CA 94111  
 (415) 438-7274 (telephone)

*Attorneys for Defendants AU Optronics Corporation and AU Optronics Corporation America, Inc.*

Dated: October 3, 2011

BY: \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
 Sandra West (SBN 250389)  
 Christopher B. Hockett (SBN 121539)  
 Neal A. Potischman (SBN 254862)  
 DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP  
 1600 El Camino Real  
 Menlo Park, CA 94025  
 (650) 752-2000 / (650) 752-2111  
 chris.hockett@davispolk.com  
 neal.potischman@davispolk.com  
 sandra.west@davispolk.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Chimei Innolux Corporation (f/k/a/ Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corp.), Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., and CMO Japan Co., Ltd.*

1  
2 Dated: October 3, 2011

BY: \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
Kent M. Roger (SBN 95987)  
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
One Market, Spear Street Tower  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: (415) 442-1001  
Facsimile: (415) 442-1001

3  
4  
5  
6  
7 *Attorney for Defendants Hitachi Ltd., Hitachi Displays*  
8 *Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.*

9 Dated: October 3, 2011

BY: \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
John M. Grenfell  
Jacob R. Sorensen  
Fusae Nara  
Andrew D. Lanphere  
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
50 Fremont Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15 *Attorneys for Defendants Sharp Corporation and Sharp*  
16 *Electronics Corp.*  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Dated: October 3, 2011

BY: /s/ John H. Chung

2 John H. Chung (*pro hac vice*)

WHITE & CASE LLP

3 1155 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10036-2787

4 (212) 819-8200 (Phone)

(212) 354-8113 (Facsimile)

5 *jchung@whitecase.com*

6 Christopher M. Curran (*pro hac vice*)

7 Kristen J. McAhren (*pro hac vice*)

WHITE & CASE LLP

8 701 Thirteenth Street, NW

9 Washington, DC 20005-3807

(202) 626-3600 (Phone)

10 (202) 639-9355 (Facsimile)

*ccurran@whitecase.com*

11 *kmcahren@whitecase.com*

12 *Attorneys for Defendants Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba*  
13 *Mobile Display Co., Ltd., Toshiba America Electronic*  
14 *Components, Inc., and Toshiba America Information*  
15 *Systems, Inc.*

16 Attestation: The filer of this documents attests that the concurrence of the other signatories  
17 thereto has been obtained.

18  
19  
20 SO ORDERED

21 

22 Honorable Susan J. Illston

23 10/3/11

24 Date Entered